UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,286	02/10/2005	Charles N. Archie	BUR920020115US1	6410
23550 HOFFMAN W	7590 07/18/2007 MAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC TE STREET LOOR  ARTINIT RAPER MANAGER			
75 STATE STREET			PHAM, HOA Q	
	14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY 12207		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2886	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/524,286	ARCHIE ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Hoa Q. Pham	2886		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35.U.S.C. 8.133)		
Status	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/14/6	07			
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Carendary drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	(x = a) accepted or b) $(x = a)$ drawing(s) be held in abeyandon is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Apity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage		
Attachmen		_			
2)  Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/524,286

Art Unit: 2886

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 6/14/07 are not accepted because an attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-28 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of copending Application No. 11/062,668. Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

Page 2

Art Unit: 2886

they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the limitations in claims 1-28 can be read from claims 1-7, 12-18, and 20-22 of the copending application.

Following are the relationship between the two applications:

- Claims 1 and 19 of the present application are corresponding to claim 1 of the copending application.
- Claims 2-7 of the present application are corresponding to claims 2-7 of the copending application.
- Claims 8-17 of the present application are corresponding to claims 12-18, 20 22 of copending application.
- Claim 18 of the present application is corresponding to claim 7 of the copending application.
- Claims 20-23 of the present application are corresponding to claims 4-7 of copending application.
- Claim 24 of the present application is corresponding to claim 12 of the copending application.
- Claims 25-27 of the present application are corresponding to claims 20-22 of the copending application.
- Claim 28 of the present application is corresponding to claim 7 of the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,286 Page 4

Art Unit: 2886

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 6/14/07 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive. Applicant's amendment to claims 1, 8, 19 and 24 overcome the rejection

under 35 U.S.C 101; however, the double patenting rejection is not overcome because

the Terminal Disclaimer is not accepted as mentioned above.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2426. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00AM TO

4:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,286

Art Unit: 2886

- 2006

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tarifur Chowdhury can be reached on (571) 272-2287. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hoa Q. Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2886 Page 5

HP July 12, 2007